

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/500,161	06/25/2004	Shin-ya Matsunaga	ga 1155-0279PUS1 7426		
2292	7590 01/13/2006		EXAMINER		
	EWART KOLASCH &	PENG, KUO LIANG			
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHU	/ JRCH, VA 22040-0747	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1712		
			DATE MAILED: 01/13/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

., ,		1		T-2			
Office Action Summary		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/500,16 ⁻	l	MATSUNAGA ET AL.			
		Examiner		Art Unit .			
		Kuo-Liang		1712			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status			·	•			
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 3/10/6	<u>'05 IDS</u> .	•				
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
5)□ 6)⋈ 7)⋈ 8)□ Applicat	Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 5-15 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or ion Papers The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceeds to the control of the	vn from con r election re r. epted or b)[quirement.				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Not	e the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119			•			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>See Other</u> .		4) ☐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) ☐ Notice of Informal F 6) ☑ Other: <u>See Continu</u>	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Continuation of Attachment(s) 6). Other: 3/10/5, 12/29/04, 10/8/04, 6/25/04.

Art Unit: 1712

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

Claim Objections

2. Claims 1-4 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Applicants are advised to replace "[A]", "[B]", "[C]", "[D]", "[E]" and "[η]" with -- (A) --, -- (B) --, -- (C) --, -- (D) --, -- (E) -- and -- (η) --, respectively, because brackets are reserved for deletion during an amendment.

Claims 5-15 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim cannot depend from any

Art Unit: 1712

other multiple dependent claim. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the

Claims 5-15 have not been further treated on the merits.

Appropriate correction is required.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially 3. created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., In re Berg, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Art Unit: 1712

4. Claims 1-4 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over Claims 2-4, 13-14 and 17-19 of copending Application No. 11/002,938. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because of the following reason: Claims 2-4, 13-14, 17 and 19 are directed to a crosslinkable rubber composition comprises the components that obviously read on the invention of the instant claims.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hakuta251 (WO 00/55251).

Art Unit: 1712, ...

The following column and line numbers are based on Hakuta251's U.S. equivalent, US 6 864 315.

Hakuta251 discloses various rubber compositions such as a rubber composition comprising components A), B), E) and F) (col. 7, lines 19-50), a rubber composition comprising components A), B), C), E) and F) (col. 14, line 48 to col. 15, line 40), a rubber compositions comprising components A), B), E), F) and with or without organopolysiloxane of formula (I) (col. 23, line 35 to col. 25, line 44), etc. The detailed characteristics of each components are further described in col. 26, line 55 to col. 39, line 28 and col. 40, line 7 to col. 41, line 42. Note that "for fuel cell seals, top cover gaskets for hard disk drivers or cable connector seals" is merely an intended use, and does not carry any weight of patentability. See MPEP 2111.02.

7. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hakuta407 (WO 01/98407).

The following column and line numbers are based on Hakuta407's U.S. equivalent, US 6 743 862.

Hakuta407 discloses various rubber compositions such as a rubber composition comprising components A), B), C), D) and E) (col. 2, line 64 to col. 4, line 53), etc. The detailed characteristics of each components are

Art Unit: 1712

further described in col. 6, line 46 to col. 15, line 7. Note that "for fuel cell seals, top cover gaskets for hard disk drivers or cable connector seals" is merely an intended use, and does not carry any weight of patentability. See MPEP 2111.02.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kuo-Liang Peng whose telephone number is (571) 272-1091. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Randy Gulakowski, can be reached on (571) 272-1302. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access

Art Unit: 1712

to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

klp

January 6, 2006

Kuo-Liang Peng Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1712